# BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accu Against: William Warren Rose Jr. Certificate # A-33557	· <b>)</b>	File No: 11-94-43900			
Peti	) tioner. )				
	DECIS	ION			
The attached Stip the Medical Board of Ca	The attached Stipulation is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.				
This Decision sha	ll become effective on	May 12. 1997			
It is so ordered	April 10, 1997	_•			
	-	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA			
		Auden L			
		Anabel Anderson Imbert, M.D.			

Panel B

1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California
2	DIANE M. L. TAN,
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice
4	300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212 Los Angeles, California 90013
5	Telephone: (213) 897-8764
6	Attorneys for Complainant
7	
8	BEFORE THE
9	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation ) No. 11-94-43900
13	Against: ) OAH No. L-9512119
14	WILLIAM WARREN ROSE, JR., M.D.  1393 Rangeton Drive  Diamond Bar, California 91789  )  STIPULATED SETTLEMENT  AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER
15	Physician's and Surgeon's ) Certificate No. A33557, )
16	Respondent.
17	respondent: /
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the
19	
20	parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following
21	matters are true:
22	1. An Accusation was filed against William Warren
23	Rose, Jr., M.D. ("respondent"), in Case No. 11-94-43900, with the
24	Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California
25	("the Division"), Department of Consumer Affairs, State of
26	California, on October 11, 1995, and is currently pending against
27	respondent.

1.2

1.9

- 3. Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California ("the Board") and has brought this action solely in his official capacity. Complainant is represented in this matter by Daniel E. Lungren, Attorney General of the State of California, by and through Diane M. L. Tan, Deputy Attorney General.
- 4. Respondent William Warren Rose, Jr., M.D., is represented in this matter by Russell Iungerich, Attorney at Law, A Professional Law Corporation, 3580 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1920, Los Angeles, California 90010-2520, and Paul Spackman, Attorney at Law.
- 5. Respondent has fully read and discussed with his counsel the charges and allegations contained in the Accusation in Case No. 11-94-43900, OAH No. L-9512119. Respondent has been fully advised regarding his legal rights and the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. On March 5, 1979, Respondent was issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A33557 by the Board. At all times relevant herein, this license has been valid. On February 8,

1984, Physician Assistant Supervisor Approval Number SA 13768 was issued by the Physician Assistant Examining Committee to Respondent. Currently, such approval is not valid and expired on June 30, 1994.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

1.1

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Respondent fully understands the charges alleged in the Accusation and that those charges and allegations, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for taking disciplinary action against his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate and Physician Assistant Supervisor Approval. Respondent has consulted with his attorney regarding the effects of entering into this Stipulated Settlement. Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges contained in the Accusation; his right to consult with and be represented by counsel at his own expense; his right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents, or other things in his defense and as proof of mitigation; his right to testify and present relevant evidence; his right to confront and crossexamine witnesses testifying against him; his right to reconsideration and appeal; and any and all other rights which may be accorded him under the California Administrative Procedure Act (Gov. Code; § 11500 et seq.) and other applicable laws.

Respondent hereby knowingly, voluntarily, and irrevocably waives and gives up each and every one of these rights.

8. Respondent admits that the criminal conviction of conspiracy of two or more persons to commit the crime of practicing medicine without a license (Pen. Code, § 182, subd.

9. Based upon the foregoing admissions and other stipulated matters, the parties agree that the Division may, without further notice or formal proceedings, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A33557 and Physician Assistant Supervisor Approval Number SA 13768 issued to Respondent William Warren Rose, Jr., M.D., are revoked. However, the revocation of Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

Within fifteen (15) days from the effective date of the Division's Decision in this matter ("the Division's Decision"), respondent shall provide the Division or its designee with written proof of service that a true copy of this decision has been served by respondent on the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent or where respondent is employed to practice medicine and on the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier where malpractice insurance coverage is extended to respondent.

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ORAL CLINICAL OR WRITTEN EXAMINATION. ninety (90) days of the effective date of the Division's Decision, respondent shall take and pass an oral clinical examination in family practice administered by the Division or its designee. If respondent fails the first examination, respondent shall be allowed to take and pass a second examination, which may consist of a written as well as an oral The waiting period between the first and second examination. examinations shall be at least three (3) months. If respondent fails to pass the first and second examinations, respondent may take a third and final examination after waiting a period of one (1) year. Failure to pass the oral clinical examination within eighteen (18) months after the effective date of the Division's Decision shall constitute a violation of probation. Respondent shall pay the costs of all examinations.

If respondent fails to pass the first examination, respondent shall be suspended from the practice of medicine until respondent has successfully passed this required examination and has been so notified in writing by the Division or its designee, and has complied with Probation Condition 1 of this Order.

(3) MONITORING. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Division's Decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval,

the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and not subject to any disciplinary action and have agreed to serve as respondent's practice monitor. The practice monitor also shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with respondent.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The practice monitor shall be involved in the same field of practice in which respondent is involved, shall have fully read the Accusation and the Division's Decision, including the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order in this matter, and fully understand his or her role as practice monitor. Within that 30-day period, the practice monitor shall submit to the Division or its designee a plan in which respondent's practice shall be monitored. That plan must be approved by the Division or its designee and shall be subject to any changes or modifications which the Division or its designee deems necessary. The practice monitor shall provide periodic written reports to the Division or its designee providing an evaluation of respondent's performance, indicating whether respondent is capable of practicing medicine safely, and providing any other necessary information requested by the Division or its designee. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that the practice monitor files the periodic written reports with the Division or its designee.

Respondent shall provide access to the monitor of respondent's fiscal and patient records and such monitor shall be permitted to make direct contact with any patients treated or cared for by respondent to discuss any matters related to

Respondent's care and treatment of those patients. Respondent shall execute a release authorizing the monitor to provide to the Division or its designee any relevant information that it may request.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within fifteen (15) days of such resignation or unavailability, submit a request to the Division or its designee to have a new monitor appointed through nomination by respondent and approval by the Division or its designee.

Respondent shall pay all of the monitoring costs.

- effective date of the Division's Decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in Ethics that has been approved in advance by the Division or its designee, shall pay all of the costs for that course, and shall successfully complete this probationary condition during the first year of probation.
- days of the effective date of the Division's Decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in Prescribing Practices, approved in advance by the Division or its designee, shall pay all of the costs for that course, and shall successfully complete this probationary condition during the first year of probation.
- (6) <u>EDUCATION COURSE</u>. Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of the Division's Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval an educational program or course to be designated by the Division, which shall not be less than 40

hours per year, for each year of probation. This program shall be in addition to the continuing medical education requirements for re-licensure.

1.

or its designee may administer an examination to test respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide written proof of attendance and successful completion of 65 hours of continuing medical education of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition and were approved in advance by the Division or its designee.

Respondent shall pay all of the costs for such courses.

days of the effective date of the Division's Decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval, the name or names of independent billing services which will administer and handle the billing for respondent's professional medical services.

If respondent decides to change the approved independent billing service, respondent shall within fifteen (15) days of such decision and prior to any changes in independent billing services, submit a request to the Division or its designee to have a new billing service designated through nomination by respondent and approval by the Division or its designee.

Respondent shall pay for all costs regarding such independent billing services.

All of respondent's billing records relating to his

practice of medicine shall be subject to inspection and copying upon request by the Division or its designee at any time during the period of probation.

DRUGS. Respondent shall maintain a record of all controlled substances and dangerous drugs prescribed, dispensed or administered by him during probation, showing all of the following: 1) the name and address of the patient, 2) the date of the prescription, 3) the character and quantity of controlled substances or dangerous drugs involved, and 4) the indications and diagnosis for which the controlled substances or dangerous drugs were furnished.

Respondent's maintenance of a record of duplicate prescription sheets or forms for all controlled substances and dangerous drugs prescribed, dispensed or administered by him separate and apart from those contained in the individual patient medical records shall satisfy this condition only if those sheets or forms state all of the information required under this probationary condition, including the indications and diagnosis for which each controlled substance or dangerous drug was furnished.

Respondent shall keep these records in a separate file or ledger, in chronological order, and shall make them available for inspection and copying by the Division or its designee, upon request.

(9) MAINTAIN RECORDS OF EMPLOYEES. Respondent shall maintain records of all persons employed by him during probation,

showing all of the following regarding each employee: 1) the name and residential address of the employee, 2) the job title of the employee, 3) the date of employment, 4) the duties of the employee, 5) the certificate/license number of all professional licenses issued to the employee, 6) a copy of each certificate/license, and 7) the date of termination of employment, if applicable.

Respondent shall keep these records in a separate file or ledger, and shall make them available for inspection and copying by the Division or its designee, upon request.

- (10) <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local laws, all rules and regulations governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- (11) <u>QUARTERLY REPORTS</u>. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division or its designee, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division and his probation surveillance monitor informed of his addresses of business and residence which shall both serve as addresses of record. Any changes of those addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division and his probation surveillance monitor. Under no circumstances shall a

post office box serve as an address of record.

1.5

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division and his probation surveillance monitor, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

- DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN. Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated physician upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- TN-STATE NON-PRACTICE. In the event that respondent should leave California to reside or practice outside of this State or for any reason should stop practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the date of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty (30) days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities as defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code or such related statutes.

All time spent in an intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine.

Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside of California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the

probationary period.

(15) <u>COST RECOVERY</u>. Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Division the sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500.00) for the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the above-entitled matter. Such amount shall be paid as follows:

Within ninety (90) days from the effective date of the Division's Decision, respondent shall pay his first payment of \$500.00 to the Division. Thereafter, respondent shall pay to the Division the amount of \$1,000.00 each and every year on January 15th, commencing on January 15, 1998, until the total amount of \$2,500.00 is paid in full.

The payment of these costs by respondent is not tolled by his practice or residency outside of California. Failure to reimburse the Division for its investigation and prosecution costs according to this probation condition shall constitute a violation of probation, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by a revised installment plan because of financial hardship.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigation and prosecution costs.

(16) <u>PROBATION COSTS</u>. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, which are currently set at Two Thousand Three Hundred Four Dollars (\$2,304.00), but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medical Quality

and delivered to the designated probation surveillance monitor at the beginning of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 days of the due date shall constitute a violation of probation.

probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed.

If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

No petition for modification or termination of probation shall be considered while there is an accusation or petition to revoke probation pending against respondent.

- of the Division's Decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his physician's and surgeon's certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.
  - (19) COMPLETION OF PROBATION. Upon successful

completion of probation, respondent's physician's and surgeon's certificate shall be fully restored.

# 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

### 

## 

#### 

### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### **SECTION 16.01 OF THE 1996/1997**

#### CALIFORNIA STATE BUDGET ACT

Section 16.01 of the 1996/1997 Budget Act of the State of California provides, in pertinent part, that:

- "(a) No funds appropriated by this act may be expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any service performed by a physician while that physician's license is under suspension or revocation due to a disciplinary action of the Medical Board of California.
- may be expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any surgical service or other invasive procedure performed on any Medi-Cal beneficiary by a physician if that physician has been placed on probation due to a disciplinary action of the Medical Board of California related to the performance of that specific service or procedure on any patient, except in any case where the board makes a determination during its disciplinary process that there exist compelling circumstances that warrant continued Medi-Cal reimbursement during the probationary period."

#### **CONTINGENCY PROVISION**

This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be subject to the approval of the Division. If the Division does not adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order as its Decision, this stipulation shall have no force or effect on any of the parties and shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties.

**ACCEPTANCE** 

I, William Warren Rose, Jr., M.D., have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained therein with my counsel. I understand the effect that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate and Physician Assistant Supervisor Approval.

I hereby freely, voluntarily, intelligently and knowingly enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and agree to be bound thereby.

DATED:

TITIAM WAPPEN

WILLIAM WAKKEN

M.1

Respondent

**CONCURRENCE** 

I have fully discussed the terms and conditions and the other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and

1	Disciplinary Order with respondent William Warren Rose, Jr.,
2	M.D., and approve the form and content of such stipulation.
3	DATED: 3(4(9)
4	RUSSELL IUNGERICH A Professional Law Corporation
5	0
6	Vussel Lunearl
7	RUSSELL IUNGERICK Attorney at Law
8	Attorneys for Respondent
9	110001110/12 200 300 F 3
10	·
11	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>
12	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary
13	Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the
14	Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California
15	DATED: March 6, 1997
16	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California
17	10
18	Diane M. L. Jan
19	DIANE M. L. TAN Deputy Attorney General
20	. Attorneys for Complainant
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California
2	DIANE M. L. TAN,
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice
4	300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212 Los Angeles, California 90013-1204 Telephone: (213) 897-2557
5	Attorneys for Complainant
6	Accorneys for complainant
7	
8	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation ) No. 11-94-43900 Against:
13	WILLIAM WARREN ROSE, JR., M.D. ) ACCUSATION
14	12115 Camino Valencia ) Cerritos, California 90701 )
15	)   Physician's and Surgeon's )
16	Certificate No. A33557,
17	Respondent.
18	
19	The Complainant alleges:
20	<u>PARTIES</u>
21	1. Complainant, Doug Laue, is the Acting Executive
22	Director of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter referred
23	to as "the Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs, State of
24	California. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in his
25	official capacity as the Acting Executive Director of the Board.
26	2. On or about March 5, 1979, Physician's and
27	Surgeon's Certificate Number A33557 was issued by the Board to
	<b>  </b>

William Warren Rose, Jr., M.D. (hereinafter referred to as "Respondent"). At all times relevant to the charges brought herein, this license has been valid and has an expiration date of June 30, 1996.

3. On or about February 8, 1984, Physician Assistant Supervisor Approval Number SA 13768 was issued by the Physician Assistant Examining Committee to Respondent. Currently, such approval is not valid and expired on June 30, 1994.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality (hereinafter referred to as "the Division"), Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California, under the authority of the following sections of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter referred to as "the Code"):
  - A. Section 2004 of the Code provides as follows:

    "The Division of Medical Quality shall have
    the responsibility for the following:
    - "(a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice Act.
    - "(b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions.
    - "(c) Carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a medical quality review committee, the division, or an administrative law judge.

- "(d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion of disciplinary actions.
- "(e) Reviewing the quality of medical practice carried out by physician and surgeon certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board."
- B. Section 2220 of the Code authorizes the Division to take action against all physicians and surgeons guilty of violating the provisions of the Medical Practice Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2000 et seq.).
- C. Section 2227, subdivision (a) of the Code provides that "[a] licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
  - "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the division.
  - "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the division.
  - "(3) Be placed on probation upon order of the division.
    - "(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the

"(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as the division or an administrative law judge may deem proper."

- D. Section 2234 of the Code provides that the Division shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. Such section further provides that "unprofessional conduct" includes, but is not limited to, the following:
  - "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.
    - "(b) Gross negligence.
    - "(c) Repeated negligent acts.
    - "(d) Incompetence.
  - "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
  - "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
- E. Section 2236 of the Code provides in pertinent part as follows:
  - "(a) The conviction of any offense

substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

**"...** 

- "(c) . . . The division may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of a crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- "(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section . . . The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred."

  F. Section 490 of the Code provides as follows:

"A board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued. A conviction within the meaning of this

section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

- G. Section 2264 of the Code provides that "[t]he employing, directly or indirectly, the aiding, or the abetting of any unlicensed person or any suspended, revoked, or unlicensed practitioner to engage in the practice of medicine or any other mode of treating the sick or afflicted which requires a license to practice constitutes unprofessional conduct."
- H. Section 2261 of the Code provides that "[k]nowingly making or signing any certificate or other document directly or indirectly related to the practice of medicine or podiatry which falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts, constitutes unprofessional conduct."
- I. Section 2242, subdivision (a) of the Code provides that "[p]rescribing, dispensing, or furnishing

 dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4211 without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor, constitutes unprofessional conduct."

- J. Section 4211 of the Code provides that "dangerous drug" means "any drug unsafe for self-medication, except veterinary drugs that are labeled as such, and includes the following:
  - "(a) Any drug that bears the legend:

    Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing

    without prescription' or words of similar import.
  - "(b) Any device that bears the statement:

    Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a \_\_\_\_\_\_, or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
  - "(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4240. . . . "
- K. Section 2238 of the Code provides that "[a] violation of any federal statute or federal regulation or any of the statutes or regulations of this state regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct."
- L. Section 118 of the Code provides in pertinent part as follows:

**"..** 

forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.

- "(c) As used in this section, `board' includes an individual who is authorized by any provision of this code to issue, suspend, or revoke a license, and `license' includes `certificate,' `registration,' and `permit.'"
- M. Section 125.3 of the Code provides that the Division may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay to the Division a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Conviction of the Crime of
Conspiring with Two or More Persons
to Commit the Crime of Practicing
Medicine Without a License-September 21, 1994)

- 5. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for having been convicted of a crime which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and surgeon. Such conviction constitutes unprofessional conduct in violation of sections 490, 2234, subdivision (a), and 2236 of the Code. The facts and circumstances regarding the conviction are as follows:
  - A. Prior to December 1990, Respondent obtained a Medi-Cal provider number from the California Department of Health Services that authorized him as a licensed practitioner, to bill the Medi-Cal program, a government funded program which pays for medical care for the indigent, for medically necessary services that he provides to Medi-Cal patients and beneficiaries.
  - B. From about December 1990 through May 1993,
    Respondent operated the Rose Family Medical Clinic in
    Long Beach, California, and employed and utilized two
    unlicensed persons, who were referred to as "doctors",
    at that clinic to provide medical services to Medi-Cal
    patients or beneficiaries and to issue illegal
    prescriptions for controlled substances and dangerous

- C. The two unlicensed persons employed and utilized by Respondent at the Rose Family Medical Clinic were Puthirak Pan and Jose Ruiz. Neither Pan nor Ruiz were ever issued a physician assistant license or any other license to practice medicine in the State of California.
- D. From about December 1990 through May 1993,
  Respondent presented false and fraudulent claims to the
  State of California's Medi-Cal Program for services
  provided by such unlicensed persons without Respondent
  or any other licensed practitioner ever having seen or
  having examined the Medi-Cal patients or beneficiaries.
- E. Respondent has received in excess of \$150,000 from the Medi-Cal Program from his illegal use of such unlicensed persons to provide medical services.
- F. On or about December 21, 1993, a Felony
  Complaint was filed in the matter of the People of the
  State of California v. William Warren Rose, Jr., Case
  No. NA018433, in the Municipal Court of Long Beach
  Judicial District, County of Los Angeles, State of
  California, charging Respondent with having committed
  the following crimes:
  - (a) Count 1 (Conspiracy Involving Grand

    Theft) -- a violation of Penal Code section

    182, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(4)

[Conspiracy], a felony, Penal Code section
487 [Grand Theft], a felony, and Welfare and
Institutions Code section 14107 [Fraudulent
Medi-Cal Claim], a felony, together with a
special-allegation that Respondent took funds
of a value in excess of \$150,000 belonging to
the State of California's Medi-Cal program
within the meaning of Penal Code sections
12022.6, subdivision (b) [Taking of Property
in Excess of \$150,000] and 1203.045 [Theft of
Amount Exceeding \$100,000];

- (b) Count 2 (Grand Theft) -- a violation of Penal Code section 487, subdivision 1, a [Grand Theft], a felony, together with a special allegation that Respondent took funds of a value in excess of \$150,000 belonging to the State of California's Medi-Cal program within the meaning of Penal Code sections 12022.6, subdivision (b) [Taking of Property in Excess of \$150,000] and 1203.045 [Theft of Amount Exceeding \$100,000];
- (c) Count 3 (Unlawful Furnishing of a Controlled Substance by Prescription) -- a violation of section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code, a felony;
- (d) Count 4 (Presenting False Medi-Cal Claim) -- a violation of section 14107 of the

- -6

- (e) <u>Count 5 (Unlawful Furnishing of a</u>

  <u>Controlled Substance by Prescription)</u>--a

  violation of section 11153 of the Health and

  Safety Code, a felony;
- (f) <u>Count 6 (Presenting False Medi-Cal</u>

  <u>Claim)</u> -- a violation of section 14107 of the

  Welfare and Institutions Code, a felony.
- G. On or about February 22, 1994, an Information was filed in the matter of the <u>People of the State of California v. Puthirak Pan and William W. Rose, Jr.</u>, Case No. NA 015851, in the Superior Court of South Judicial District, County of Los Angeles, State of California, charging Respondent with having committed the following crimes:
  - Theft)--Beginning at least as early as

    December 22, 1990, and continuing through at
    least May 5, 1993, Respondent conspired with

    Puthirak Pan, Jose Ruiz and others who were
    not licensed to practice medicine in

    California, to commit grand theft against the

    Medi-Cal system and to present false Medi-Cal
    claims in violation of Penal Code section

    182, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(4)

    [Conspiracy], a felony, Penal Code section

    487 [Grand Theft], a felony, and Welfare and

б

- 26

- Practice of Medicine Without a License) -- From at least as early as December 22, 1990, and continuing through at least May 5, 1993, Respondent and Puthirak Pan conspired with Jose Ruiz and others to practice medicine without a license in violation of Penal Code section 182, subdivision (a)(1) [Conspiracy], a felony, and Business and Professions Code section 2052 [Practice of Medicine Without a License], a misdemeanor;
- December 22, 1990, and continuing through May 5, 1993, Respondent willfully and unlawfully took from the State of California money of a value exceeding \$400 in violation of Penal Code section 487, subdivision 1, a [Grand Theft], a felony, together with a special allegation that Respondent took funds of a value in excess of \$150,000 belonging to the State of California's Medi-Cal program within the meaning of Penal Code sections 12022.6, subdivision (b) [Taking of Property in Excess of \$150,000] and 1203.045 [Theft of Amount Exceeding \$100,000];

- Controlled Substance by Prescription) -- On or about July 28, 1992, Respondent, [through the use of an unlicensed person], issued a prescription for a Schedule V controlled substance, i.e., Robitussin AC syrup with Codeine, for an undercover operator using the name of Sok Prak, in violation of section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code, a felony;
- Claim) -- On or about August 17, 1992,
  Respondent, with intent to defraud, caused to be presented for allowance and payment a false and fraudulent claim, Medi-Cal Claim
  No. 22305204036, by Rose Family Medical
  Clinic for services [provided by an unlicensed person] under the Medi-Cal Act to an undercover operator using the name of Sok
  Prak, on July 28, 1992, in violation of section 14107 of the Welfare and Institutions
  Code, a felony;
- (f) Count 6 (Unlawful Furnishing of a Controlled Substance by Prescription) -- On or about February 25, 1993, Respondent, [through the use of an unlicensed person], issued a prescription for a Schedule III controlled

substance, i.e., Acetaminophen with Codeine, #2 tablets, for an undercover operator using the name of Tuan V. Le, in violation of section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code, a felony;

Claim) -- On or about March 15, 1993,
Respondent, with the intent to defraud,
caused to be presented for allowance and
payment a false and fraudulent claim, MediCal Claim No. 31056537667, by Rose Family
Medical Clinic for services [provided by an
unlicensed person] under the Medi-Cal Act to
an undercover operator using the name of Tuan
V. Le, on February 25, 1993, in violation of
section 14107 of the Welfare and Institutions
Code, a felony.

H. On or about March 16, 1994, a First Amended Information was filed in the matter of the People of the State of California v. Puthirak Pan and William W. Rose, Jr., Case No. NA 015851, in the Superior Court of South Judicial District, County of Los Angeles, State of California, in which some of the allegations contained in Counts 1 and 2 of the Information were amended.

I. On or about September 21, 1994, based upon a plea agreement, Respondent was convicted pursuant to a

15. 

plea of guilty of having committed the felony offense of conspiracy as charged in Count 2 of the First Amended Information filed on March 16, 1994. The remaining counts were continued to October 20, 1994, for disposition.

J. On or about November 28, 1994, Respondent was sentenced to a term of probation for three years. He was ordered to serve one year in the Los Angeles County Jail with a recommendation for work furlough; to pay restitution of \$50,000 to the California Department of Health Services, payable \$10,000 within 90 days and the remainder as directed by the probation officer; not to employ, or work in association with, any person providing health care services who is required by law to be licensed to do so but is not so licensed; and to comply with other terms and conditions of probation. The remaining six counts were dismissed due to the plea negotiation.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Employing, Aiding or Abetting
Unlicensed Persons to Engage in the
Practice of Medicine)

6. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for employing, directly or indirectly, the aiding, or the abetting of at least two unlicensed persons to engage in the practice of medicine in violation of sections 2234, subdivision (a) and 2264 of the Code as alleged in Paragraph 5 of this Accusation, which

1	is incorporated herein by reference.
2	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
3	(Commission of Acts Involving
4	Dishonesty or Corruption)
5	7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for
6	engaging in unprofessional conduct in violation of section 2234,
7	subdivision (e) of the Code by having committed acts involving
8	dishonesty or corruption which are substantially related to the
9	qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon
10	as alleged in Paragraph 5 of this Accusation, which is
11	incorporated herein by reference.
12	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
13	(False Documents)
14	8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for
15	engaging in unprofessional conduct in violation of sections
16	2234, subdivision (a) and 2261 of the Code by knowingly making
17	or signing false and fraudulent Medi-Cal claims for services
18	provided by unlicensed persons as alleged in Paragraph 5 of this
19	Accusation, which is incorporated herein by reference.
20	<u>FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION</u>
21	(Prescribing, Dispensing or
22.	Furnishing Dangerous Drugs Without
23	Prior Examination and Medical
24	Indication)
25	9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for
26	engaging in unprofessional conduct in violation of sections 2234,
27	subdivision (a) and 2242, subdivision (a) of the Code by

prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in section 4211 of the Code without a good faith prior examination and medical indication as alleged in Paragraph 5 of this Accusation, which is incorporated herein by reference.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of State Drug Statutes)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for violating or attempting to violate a state statute or regulation regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances by unlawfully dispensing controlled substances in violation of sections 2234, subdivision (a) and 2238 of the Code as alleged in Paragraph 5 of this Accusation, which is incorporated herein by reference.

#### <u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held in this matter and that following the hearing, the Division issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A33557 that was issued to Respondent William Warren Rose, Jr., M.D.;
- 2. Revoking Physician Assistant Supervisor Approval Number SA 13768 issued to Respondent by the Physician Assistant Examining Committee;
- 3. Including an order by the administrative law judge that Respondent pay to the Division the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case pursuant

1	to section 125.3 of the Business and Professions Code; and
2	4. Taking such other and further action as the
3	Division deems proper.
4	DATED: October 11, 1995
5	
6	- Mine
7	DOUG MADE Acting Executive Director
8	Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs
9	State of California
10	Complainant
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

26

27